

11



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	ITOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/586,94	3 06/02/0	O DEYOUNG		P	OLI02 P-350
			乛		EXAMINER
000277 QM12/1002 PRICE HENEVELD COOPER DEWITT & LITTON				DEXTER.C	
695 KENMO		To the feath VV also () the bound of 1 that I'm.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 2 GRAND RAP	567 IDS MI 4950	1		3724 DATE MAILED	: /
					10/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/586,943

Applicant(s)

DeYoung

Office Action Summary Examiner

(نو

Clark F. Dexter

Art Unit **3724**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	A CONTINUO EDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed				
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,	ITION .				
! * !	eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this				
= 1	statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status					
	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action	ion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is refer to Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-34</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)					
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority description application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the straight					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 55 5.5.5. 3 175(6).				
Attachment(s)					
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/586,943

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 2 and 18, drawn to a dough divider with actuation control structure, classified in class 83, subclass 72.
 - II. Claims 1, 3-8, 10, 14, 17-24, 27, 31 and 33, drawn to a dough divider with a specific press plate configuration, classified in class 83, subclass 620.
 - III. Claims 1, 9, 18, 25 and 26, drawn to a dough divider with a specific lid configuration, classified in class 83, subclass 658.
 - IV. Claims 1, 10-13, 18 and 27-30, drawn to a dough divider with a specific knife assembly configuration, classified in class 83, subclass 694.
 - V. Claims 1, 14-16, 18, 31 and 32, drawn to a dough divider with a specific latch assembly control structure, classified in class 83, subclass 701.
- 2. Claims 1-17 have been restricted such that the patentability of the invention is presumed to lie in the details of the particular group (e.g. the control structure of Group I). It is noted that if claim 1 as originally filed is determined to be patentable, rejoinder of claims 1-17 will be considered. It is further noted that claim 1 is listed as part of groups I-V but is not considered to be part any of these groups. Rather, claim 1 recites subject matter that is common to all of the groups and has been shown as part of each group for clarity (i.e., so that it is clear which claims

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/586,943

Art Unit: 3724

are part of which group). Further, because claim 1 includes subject matter that is common to all of the groups, it is not considered to be independent or distinct from any of the groups.

Therefore, claim 1 will be examined upon election of one of the groups. The same applies to claim 18 with respect to the claims dependent therefrom.

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Group I vs Groups II-V

- Inventions of groups I and II are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group I does not require the specific details of the press plate configuration of group II for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group I, and the invention of group II does not require the specific details of the actuation control structure of group I for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group II.
- Inventions of groups I and III are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group I does not require the specific details of the lid configuration of group III for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group I, and the invention of group III does not require the specific details of the actuation control structure of group I for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group III.
- 6. Inventions of groups I and IV are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group I does not require the specific details of the knife assembly configuration of group IV for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group I, and the invention of

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/586,943

Art Unit: 3724

group IV does not require the specific details of the actuation control structure of group I for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group IV.

7. Inventions of groups I and V are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group I does not require the specific details of the latch assembly control structure of group V for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group I, and the invention of group V does not require the specific details of the actuation control structure of group I for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group V.

Group II vs Groups III-V

- Inventions of groups II and III are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group II does not require the specific details of the lid configuration of group III for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group II, and the invention of group III does not require the specific details of the press plate configuration of group II for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group III.
- 9. Inventions of groups II and IV are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group II does not require the specific details of the knife assembly configuration of group IV for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group II, and the invention of group IV does not require the specific details of the press plate configuration of group II for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group IV.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/586,943

Art Unit: 3724

10. Inventions of groups II and V are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group II does not require the specific details of the latch assembly control structure of group V for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group II, and the invention of group V does not require the specific details of the press plate configuration of group II for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group V.

Group III vs Groups IV-V

- Inventions of groups III and IV are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group III does not require the specific details of the knife assembly configuration of group IV for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group III, and the invention of group IV does not require the specific details of the lid configuration of group III for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group IV.
- 12. Inventions of groups III and V are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group III does not require the specific details of the latch assembly control structure of group V for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group III, and the invention of group V does not require the specific details of the lid configuration of group III for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group V.

Application/Control Number: 09/586,943

Art Unit: 3724

Group IV vs Group V

- 13. Inventions of groups IV and V are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group IV does not require the specific details of the latch assembly control structure of group V for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group IV, and the invention of group V does not require the specific details of the knife assembly configuration of group IV for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group V.
- 14. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 15. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at (703)308-2187.

Application/Control Number: 09/586,943

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers for this group are: formal papers - (703)305-3579; informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd September 28, 2001